



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,033	04/09/2001	Frank Venegas JR.	IDS-14302/14	8392

7590 04/30/2004

John G. Posa
Gifford, Krass, Groh
280 N. Old Woodward Ave., Suite 400
Birmingham, MI 48009

EXAMINER

SAWHNEY, HARGOBIND S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2875

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/829,033	VENEGAS, FRANK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hargobind S Sawhney	2875	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 12 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The response to the office action filed on February 13, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307).

Regarding claim 1, Moore discloses a lighted assembly 10 (Figure 4, column 2, line 18) further comprising:

- an elongated tubular body 11 having an open end 12, and a closed end 15 defining a cavity – enclosed by element 18 – (Figure 4);
- the open end 12 of the cavity receiving the stanchion 14 (Figures 1 and 4);

Art Unit: 2875

- a lighted assembly 10 (Figure 4, column 2, line 18) having a light source 17 (Figure 4, column 2, line 23) interconnected to a power source 22 (Figure 4, column 2, line 36);
- the light source 17 secured relative to the tubular body 11, and making its light visible exteriorly of the interior cavity (Figures 1 and 4; and
- an elongated tubular body receiving the stanchion in its cavity; and
- the open end of the elongated tubular body proximate – interpreted with similar thinking as that included in the recitation- to the ground surface.

It would be have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the lighted assembly of Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) by extending the length of the elongated tubular body , and accommodating entire stanchion with in itself, or making its open end contacting the ground, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size of the component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding Claim 2, Moore does not disclose a lighted assembly 10 including a power source positioned external to the lighted stanchion cover. Instead, Moore teaches positioning of the power source within the cavity defined by the cover and the stanchion external wall (Figure 4). It would be have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to relocate the power source – batteries – external to the

Art Unit: 2875

cavity, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claims 3-6, Moore discloses the lighting assembly additionally including:

- an electronic circuit (Figures 1, 3 and 4) managing and controlling power for the device; and
 - the lighted assembly 10 further having a light source receptacle (not shown) receiving the lamp 17;
 - the lighted assembly 10 further comprising a plurality of photovoltaic devices 28 (Figure 4, column 2, line 50) supported by the elongated tubular body 11 (Figures 1 and 4); and
 - the power source being a battery 22 (Figure 4, column 2, line 36).
4. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) in view of Morse (U.S. Patent No. 3,855,924).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Moore discloses a lighted assembly including an elongated tubular body with an open end and a closed end , and defining an interior cavity. However, Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) does not teach the elongated tubular body displaying a message.

On the other hand, Morse (U.S. Patent No. 3,855,924) discloses a sign making stencil method applied for signs (Figures 2 and 3), and teaches a post carrying a message including stenciled letters (Figures 2 and 3, column 2, lines 45-48).

Art Unit: 2875

It would be have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the lighted assembly of Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) by providing stenciled message sign on the post as taught by Morse (U.S. Patent No. 3,855,924) for the benefit and advantage of displaying messages in simple and cost saving manner.

5. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) in view of Padilla et al.(U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307).

Regarding claims 9-11, Moore does not disclose a lighted assembly comprising a cover having tubular body including one or more light dispersing windows, and a message displayed with a plurality of light diodes further comprising.

On the other hand, Padilla et al.(U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) teaches a lighting device 10 (Figure 1) comprising a tubular body 12 (Figures 1,5 and 6, column 4, lines 24-27) comprising a plurality of light emitting diodes (LEDs) 16 (Figures 1,5 and 6, column 4, line 29) emitting light through a plurality of light dispersing windows. In addition Padilla et al.(U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) teach the light emitting diodes 16 being supported by the thickness of the tubular body of the cover 12 (Figures 7 and 8)

It would be have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the tubular cover of the lighted assembly of Moore with the tubular body taught by Padilla et al.(U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) for benefits and advantages of eye- catching displays, and for traffic safety in dark.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 12 is allowed.

The prior art of record, including Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) and Padilla et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307), fails to show or suggest the applicant's invention as claimed. Specifically, the prior art of record does not disclose proper motivation for combining:

- the body of the cover having a second cavity defined by the inner and outer surfaces and the thickness; and
- the second cavity encapsulating a photo-luminescent mixture.

The above-indicated combination, including a body of a cover having a second cavity encapsulating a photo-luminescent mixture, makes this invention unique.

Neither combined nor individual teaching of Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) and Padilla et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) discloses a cover with a cavity encapsulating a photo-luminescent mixture.

Therefore, Claim 12 is allowed over prior art.

Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's arguments, filed on February 13, 2004, with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 103(b) rejections of claims 7 and 8 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Argument: With respect to claims 7 and 8, although Morse (U.S. Patent No. 3,855,924) teaches a sign-making stencil method, it is entirely unrelated to a lighted stanchion. There is no teaching or suggestion from the prior art as to Moore/Morse, Jr. combination. Thus, prima facie obviousness clearly has not been established.

Response: Regarding claims 7 and 8, Moore discloses a lighted assembly including an elongated tubular body with an open end and a closed end, and defining an interior cavity. However, Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) does not teach the elongated tubular body displaying a message.

On the other hand, Morse (U.S. Patent No. 3,855,924) discloses a sign making stencil method applied for signs (Figures 2 and 3), and teaches a post carrying a message including stenciled letters (Figures 2 and 3, column 2, lines 45-48).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the lighted assembly of

Art Unit: 2875

Moore (U.S. Patent No. 5,121,307) by providing stenciled message sign on the post as taught by Morse (U.S. Patent No. 3,855,924) for the benefit and advantage of displaying messages in simple and cost saving manner.

Further, sign making stencil method as taught by Morse ('924) is well known knowledge generally available to one of the ordinary skill in the art of displays for advertisement.

Thus, the above-detailed information has established prima-facie.

8. Claims 1-6 and 9-11 stay rejected as per the office action mailed on July 14, 2003.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2875

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hargobind S Sawhney whose telephone number is 571-272-2380. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:15 - 2:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306/7724 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2956.

HSS

4/19/2004.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'THOMAS M. SEMBER', written in a cursive style.

**THOMAS M. SEMBER
PRIMARY EXAMINER**